## Report on the 2012 ECPR Executive Committee Election Results

From 1 November 2011 to 15 March 2012, the European Consortium for Political Research conducted a three-stage process to elect new members to the organization's Executive Committee.

The elections were carried out on the basis of the document "Rules for Electing Members of the ECPR Executive Committee", approved by the Executive Committee on 31 August 2010. For the first time, the elections were carried out electronically using a special platform created on the ECPR website.

The election was initially to fill 7 seats on the 12 -member Committee (instead of the customary 6), since one member of the Committee, Joaquim Molins, had stepped down in September 2009, meaning an extra member (Manuel Sanchez de Dios) needed to be coopted. Under Point 14f of the Constitution, individuals appointed in such a manner may hold the seat only until the next Executive Committee election.

To ensure proper oversight of the election, ECPR Chair Luciano Bardi asked Prof. David Farrell (University College Dublin) to serve as Senior Returning Officer. Prof. Farrell assumed this role on 7 November 2011.

In accordance with the "Rules", the first phase of the election - candidate nominations - took place from 1 November to 31 December 2011. At the conclusion of this period, 15 individuals were duly nominated:

| Lawrence | SAEZ | School of Oriental and African Studies |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Richard | KATZ | Johns Hopkins University |
| Luis | DE SOUSA | Universidade de Lisboa Instituto de Ciencias Sociais |
| Pippa | NORRIS | Harvard University |
| Olafur | HARDARSON | University of Iceland |
| Manuel | SANCHEZ DE DIOS | Universidad Complutense de Madrid |
| Rudy | ANDEWEG | Universiteit Leiden |
| Johannes | POLLAK | Institute for Advanced Studies, Vienna |
| Knud | JORGENSEN | Aarhus Universitet |
| Klaus | GOETZ | Universität Potsdam |
| Luca | VERZICHELLI | Università Degli Studi di Siena |
| Mary | FARRELL | University of Greenwich |
| Nicolas | SAUGER | Foundation Nationale Des Sciences Politiques |
| Birgit | SAUER | University of Vienna |
| Fiona | MACKAY | University of Edinburgh |

On 1 January, the endorsement period began, during which ECPR Official Representatives were asked to endorse nominees for the final electoral ballot. This period, originally scheduled to conclude on 30 January, was extended (with due consent from the Senior Returning Officer) to 3 February (and announced to ORs) in order to resolve a discrepancy between the timeframe laid out in the "Rules" and the dates of an electoral calendar that had been announced to the membership. ${ }^{1}$

[^0]On 11 January 2012 at an Executive Committee meeting, EC member Danica Fink-Hafner announced her decision to step down from the Committee at the conclusion of her first threeyear term. In consultation with the Senior Returning Officer, it was decided that because final voting had not yet begun, this seat would be added to the number available for election. Hence, the new number of seats to be filled was 8 . This information was also announced to ORs.

At the conclusion of the endorsement period, 14 candidates had received the requisite number of endorsements to be carried onto the ballot. These were:

| Richard | KATZ | Johns Hopkins University |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Luis | DE SOUSA | Universidade de Lisboa Instituto de Ciencias Sociais |
| Pippa | NORRIS | Harvard University |
| Olafur | HARDARSON | University of Iceland |
| Manuel | SANCHEZ DE DIOS | Universidad Complutense de Madrid |
| Rudy | ANDEWEG | Universiteit Leiden |
| Johannes | POLLAK | Institute for Advanced Studies, Vienna |
| Knud | JORGENSEN | Aarhus Universitet |
| Klaus | GOETZ | Universität Potsdam |
| Luca | VERZICHELLI | Università Degli Studi di Siena |
| Mary | FARRELL | University of Greenwich |
| Nicolas | SAUGER | Foundation Nationale Des Sciences Politiques |
| Birgit | SAUER | University of Vienna |
| Fiona | MACKAY | University of Edinburgh |

The final voting period was opened on 15 February. Under the "Rules", ECPR Official Representatives were asked to rank-order no less than three, but no more than eight of the candidates on the ballot. Subsequently, these votes would be processed using the Single Transferable Vote (Scottish variant).

On 29 February, staff at ECPR Central Services began a routine review of member institutions' payment of their yearly subscription dues. (Under the ECPR's Subscription Payment Policy, member institutions lose access to ECPR benefits if their institutions have not paid their subscription fee by 1 March.) During this review, Central Services staff determined that the home institution of one of the candidates standing for the election had not as yet paid its 2011-2012 fee. On 6 March, the staff alerted the ECPR Senior Management Team (SMT, Chair Luciano Bardi, Vice-Chair Vello Pettai and Academic Director Martin Bull) of this situation. The SMT decided to refer the matter to the Senior Returning Officer for a decision as to whether this situation had any bearing on the particular individual's status as a candidate.

Having reviewed both the "Rules" and the ECPR Constitution, the Senior Returning Officer found that neither document regulates the specific issue of an institution's outstanding membership fee, except in Point 8 of the Constitution, where it is stated,
8. Each full member is entitled to designate one person to serve as its representative on the Council and an alternate to represent it in the absence of the designated representative, except that any member which is more than one year in arrears with its subscription ceases to be eligible to be represented on the Council.

The Senior Returning Officer determined that by extension this principle must apply also for electoral candidates to the Executive Committee. He therefore ruled that there was no issue affecting the given individual's status as a candidate, recommending however that the next Executive Committee take steps to avoid such ambiguities in the future.

Having clarified this question, the SMT proceeded to count the ballots as cast during the voting period.

A total of 178 ballots (out of a total number of 340 eligible ORs) were cast. All of the votes were declared valid. ${ }^{2}$

To ensure an accurate processing of the votes, the Executive Committee decided to rely on a well-known computer program, OpenSTV (see www.openstv.org). The votes (as recorded by the ECPR website) were uploaded into the programme and processed according to the Scottish variant of STV. No ties occurred.

Those elected to the Executive Committee (in alphabetical order) were:

| 1. | Rudy | ANDEWEG | Universiteit Leiden |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | Klaus | GOETZ | Universität Potsdam |
| 3. | Olafur | HARDARSON | University of Iceland |
| 4. | Knud | JORGENSEN | Aarhus Universitet |
| 5. | Richard | KATZ | Johns Hopkins University |
| 6. | Pippa | NORRIS | Harvard University |
| 7. | Manuel | SANCHEZ DE DIOS | Universidad Complutense de Madrid |
| 8. | Luca | VERZICHELLI | Università Degli Studi di Siena |

Not elected (in alphabetical order) were:

| 9. Luis | DE SOUSA | Universidade de Lisboa Instituto de Ciencias Sociais |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10. Mary | FARRELL | University of Greenwich |
| 11. Fiona | MACKAY | University of Edinburgh |
| 12. Johannes | POLLAK | Institute for Advanced Studies |
| 13. Birgit | SAUER | University of Vienna |
| 14. Nicolas | SAUGER | Foundation Nationale Des Sciences Politiques |

The full calculation of results follows on the next page. Alternates for any vacancies that may arise shall be filled using the countback method within STV or where this is not possible via co-optation (as per Point 14f of the Constitution).

The results were certified by the Senior Returning Officer as correct.
At its upcoming meeting in Antwerp, the ECPR Council shall vote to confirm these results.

ECPR Executive Committee
29 March 2012

[^1]
## Title

OpenSTV version 2.0.0 (http://www.OpenSTV.org/)
Loading ballots from file EC_election_votes.txt.
Ballot file contains 14 candidates and 178 ballots.
No candidates have withdrawn.
Ballot file contains 178 non-empty ballots.
Blue box indicates candidate elected.
Method Options:
Red box indicates candidate eliminated.

- Title -- Title
- Seats -- 8

Counting votes using Scottish STV.



 Count after transferring surplus votes from ANDEWEG with a transfer value of 10.00000/30.00000. Candidates have surplus votes so surplus votes will be transferred for the next round.


 Count after eliminat g SAUER ar d transferring votes. No candidates have surplus votes so candidates will be eliminated and their votes transferred for the next round.


 $\begin{array}{lllllll}20.00000 & 8.53333 \\ \text { Count after eliminatin § SAUGER a d transferring votes. No candidates have surplus votes so candidates will be eliminated and their votes transferred for the next round }\end{array}$
 Count after eliminatin § POLLAK round.

 transferred for the next round.
 Count after transferring surplus votes from KATZ with a transfer value of $0.29035 / 20.29035$. Candidates have surplus votes so surplus votes will be transferred for the next round.


 Count after eliminatin DE_SOUSA and transferring votes. No candidates have surplus votes so candidates will be eliminated and their votes transferred for the next round.

13 Count after eliminatir g MACKAY nd transferring votes. Candidate JORGENSEN has s reached the threshold and is elected. Candidate SANCHEZ_DE_DIOS s elected.
Winners are ANDEWEG, GOETZ, HARDARSON, JORGENSEN, KATZ, NORRIS,
SANCHEZ_DE_DIOS, and VERZICHELLI.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The timeframe laid out in the "Rules" states that the endorsement period shall last " 30 days", whereas the calendar announced to the membership listed a period of " 1 January - 1 February", meaning a total of 32 days. To err on the side of caution, it was agreed to extend the period even more fully to 3 February.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This was in part because in accordance with the "Rules" the special voting webpage was programmed in such a way that the system would only accept a ballot if it listed at least three, but no more than eight preference votes.

