

# **The Mediatization of Celebrity Politics through the Social Media**

**Mark Wheeler, London Metropolitan University**

## **Abstract**

This paper provides an analysis of celebrity politics within the public sphere. As there has been a commodification of digital media services, the lines between politics and entertainment have been blurred. With the rise of talent shows, rolling news channels, Web 2.0 networks and user-generated online content, celebrity has become instantaneous and may be orchestrated in viral terms. Therefore, this analysis will consider how politicized celebrities have received a greater amount of coverage with reference to social networks such as *Twitter* and *Facebook* to associate themselves with endorsements, causes and activities. The paper will provide a case study of the online dispute which occurred amongst stars concerning Israel's 2014 military action within Gaza. Finally, it will reflect upon whether celebrity activists' use of the social media can reinvigorate politics or will such activity erode the political culture?

## **Key Words**

Celebrity Politics;

Social Media;

Citizenship;

Network Society;

Cyber Politics;

Democratization;

Elections;

Internet.

## **Introduction**

This paper provides an analysis of celebrity politics within the public sphere. As there has been a commodification of digital media services, the lines between politics and entertainment have been blurred. Moreover, there has been an exponential rise within competitive international news channels and a paradigmatic shift in the audience's usage of political communications systems. This dynamic has escalated those historical trends that have been identified as being characteristics of celebrity politics. These include the changes from the publicization of heroic virtues to the introduction of mass media techniques designed to manufacture a celebrity's persona; the rise of a leadership class which has been defined by political imagery; and the transformation of celebrity endorsers into political activists.

Presently, celebrity culture has become even more pronounced than before with reference to the political economy of digital media. With the rise of talent shows, rolling news channels, Web 2.0 networks and user-generated online content, celebrity has become instantaneous and may be orchestrated in viral terms. This analysis will discuss how these concerns have placed a greater emphasis on the personalization of political engagement. In particular, it will consider how celebrity politicians (CPs) have received a greater amount of

coverage with reference to social networks such as *Twitter* and *Facebook*, which have acted as ‘infotainment’ platforms, to associate themselves with endorsements, causes and activities.

Therefore, this paper considers how politicized celebrities (CP2s) (Street, 2004), have become important figures in modern political communications.<sup>1</sup> In recent years, CP2s have been positioned at the interface of causal-based activity, social engagement and cultural practice. Celebrities have affected direct links with their fan-bases through *Twitter* subscriptions and their online endorsement of commercial products has been a growth industry. Moreover, as the social media has grown exponentially; film, music and television stars have used their fame to mediate ‘a more expansive conception of political capital’ (Coleman, 2007: 15). CP2s have raised public awareness concerning campaigns that have existed both within and outside of the purview of partisan based politics. Consequently, this analysis will consider how the social media has further enabled stars to create points of public identification with specific campaigns.

First, it will provide the context for online celebrity engagement in political endorsement or causes. There will be a short outline of the exponential growth of the social media and a consideration of what Manuel Castells has defined as the ‘Network Society’. Castells (2007) has envisaged horizontal rather than hierarchical power relations which facilitate opportunities for grassroots activism and social movements. These communications reforms have segued into the ever-changing trajectories in modern political communications which have seen the expansion of celebrity endorsements in partisan and causal based humanitarian campaigns within the first decades of the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

Second, the paper will look at how alternative forms of celebrity endorsement of mainstream political campaigns have emerged through the employment of *Facebook* and, most especially, *Twitter* platforms. Moreover, within the United States (US), as CP2s have

become patrons, advocates and fundraisers for a multitude of causes including injustice, the environmental movement, public health and reforms to education systems they have employed social networks to bring attention to a range of grassroots movements. In turn, as United Kingdom (UK) celebrity culture has grown, there has been an accompanying rise in online star activism.

Third, it will provide a case study with reference to the human rights debate concerning Israel's 2014 military action within Gaza. This was accompanied by a vitriolic online dispute amongst film, music and sports stars. The death toll of over 1600 Palestinian men, women and children led to celebrities arguing about whether Israel has affected a legitimate or disproportionate response to Hamas' rocket attacks on Tel Aviv and Jerusalem. The Gaza debate transcended many of the characteristics of celebrity humanitarianism, not least because of its origins within the ideological divisions between Zionism and Palestinian rights of national self-determination and as the social media had reconfigured the nature of CP2 interventions into matters of international controversy.

The paper will conclude by considering how the social media has facilitated a greater blurring of the entertainment and news media. As online infotainment has predominated it has defined how celebrities may influence political agendas so that CP2 activities have been praised and condemned equal measures. In particular, celebrity activism has either been seen to be worthwhile or a shallow expression of a consumer led culture. Therefore, this analysis will reflect upon whether celebrity activists' usage of the social media can reinvigorate politics as against the fears expressed that they will erode the political culture.

## **The Social Media in Modern Political Communications**

According to a 2012 Neilson report US Internet users spend more time on social networks than any other category of websites. The report concluded that 20% of people's time on Personal Computers (PC) was devoted to the social channels and that 30% of their mobile phone time was spent on social networks (Nielson, 2012). It demonstrated that 17% of American consumers' PC time is spent on *Facebook*, which remains the most popular web brand and mobile application in the US (Ibid.). Thus, it is maintained that the social media allows for the rapid growth of *many-to-many or point-to point forms of communication*. Most especially, the unprecedented expansion of online social networks such as *Facebook*, *LinkedIn* and *Twitter*, has meant that there are major opportunities for grassroots communication, deliberation and discussion.

Consequently, there has been a widespread discussion about the civic and economic potential of online media and social networks. In particular, their contribution to changes in working and living practices, and growth rates, had been seen to potentially enhance democratic practices, public sphere and civic cultures, citizen responsibility and participation. Social media is said to radically change the way in which public communication takes place and provides an electronic agora to allow for alternative issues to be raised, framed and effectively debated. As the Internet provides an instant global communication resource, it is contended citizens may enjoy a real-time interactive access with one another to transmit ideas, by-pass authorities, challenge autocracies and affect greater forms of expression against state power.

These new forms of the public sphere have been associated with the rise of network democracy. This concept suggests a dispersal of concentrated communications power and that a horizontal network of links allows for the viral spread of information, thereby affecting

greater levels of public engagement, participation and ideological representation. Manuel Castells in *Communications Power* (2009) argues that the information networks construct new forms of meaning by encouraging causal linkages between members' private expressions and public discourses. Therefore, this enables an ever-expanding set of digital citizens to engage in a 'communicate abundance' to resist the dominant forces within society (Keane, 2013: 1; Fenton, 2012: 163):

In our ... network society, power is multi-dimensional and is organized around networks programmed in each domain of human activity according to the interests and values of empowered actors. Networks of power exercise their power by influencing the human mind predominantly (but not solely) through the multimedia networks of mass communication. Thus, communications networks are decisive sources of power-making (Castells, 2012: 7).

Further, as Web 2.0 social networks distribute information in a viral manner they allow for new forms of information exchange. In this respect, people-to-people forms of communication have enabled 'memes' --- units of ideas, styles or cultural practices that are designed to permeate the public's international consciousness --- to operate through hyper-links, websites or re-tweeted messages complete with their own hash tags. Through such a fragmentation of information sources, alternative voices have emerged to affect a 'poly-lateral' or non-hierarchical form of communications.

### **Politicized Celebrities as 'Performers'**

These changes in information and communications practices may enhance what John Street (with apologies to Colin Hay) has identified as a 'differentiated yet inclusive definition of

politics' (Street, 2011:7; Hay, 2007: 65). According to Street smaller scale power relations, which had been previously conceived as being marginal to representations of public life, should be recognized as legitimate forms of political agency (Street, 2011: 7). Consequently, it has been noted that politicized celebrities (CP2s) have provided creditable endorsements for partisan and increasingly issue-driven campaigns as they may 'represent' a viewer or constituency in a broad political sense (Street, 2004).

In modern American politics, Hollywood film stars, musicians and sportsmen and women have continued to endorse political candidates. Indeed, such a form of celebrity engagement reached a crescendo during the Democratic presidencies of Bill Clinton and Barack Obama. In 2008, Obama utilized the US entertainment-politics nexus to enhance his status in the Presidential elections which he won in 2008 and 2012. Consequently, he received CP2 endorsements from Leonardo Di Caprio, George Clooney, Bruce Springsteen, Robert De Niro, Chris Rock, Kerry Washington, Tim Robbins, Susan Sarandon, Ben Affleck, Jennifer Aniston, Halle Berry, Will Smith, Matt Damon and Stevie Wonder.

Celebrity endorsements have also become increasingly common-place in UK politics. In 2010 the Conservative campaign machinery unveiled the international film star Sir Michael Caine at a press conference to promote their plans for sixteen year olds to volunteer as National Citizens as part of the Big Society. Caine was joined by other CP2s such as Carol Vorderman, Kirstie Allsop, Gary Barlow and Chris Rea. Within this campaign, the Labour Party was more vociferous in its employment of celebrity endorsements when the comedian Eddie Izzard appeared in a Labour Party Election Broadcast (PEB) stating that a Conservative victory would be a disaster and that 'Britain is Brilliant.' Elsewhere, David Tennant and Richard Wilson provided voice-overs for Labour PEBs and its audio manifesto.

In terms of the visibility of their endorsements, CP2s have moved beyond appearing on platforms or in television commercials to support candidates. Increasingly, they have understood that it is their responsibility to propagate the values of the campaign itself. Moreover, public opinion has been more favourably galvanized towards celebrities when they have engaged in non-partisan activities. Stephen Huddart has explained how CP2s have ‘performed’ as patrons, advocates and fundraisers for social movements (Huddart, 2005: 40). For example, a patron refers to those celebrities who allow an organization to cite their name thereby affording credibility to external publics and validation to internal audiences. In tandem, a spokesperson or endorser is a celebrity who is identified with a charity and makes statements on its behalf. This has occurred within the field of celebrity humanitarianism to be personified by Bob Geldof, Bono, Angelina Jolie and George Clooney.

Further, the audience’s capacity to consume such CP2 endorsements has exponentially increased due to the collapse in trust towards the political classes and their ability to be ‘in touch’ with popular values. Most especially, John Thompson has contended that being a ‘fan’ has become a defining factor in modernity so that the public form an ‘intimacy with distant others’ such as celebrities (Thompson, 1995: 220). In turn, Ellis Cashmore has noted that there has been a major cultural shift in which celebrities have assumed a moral authority among target audiences which was ‘once associated with sages or charismatic leaders’ (Cashmore, 2006: 218). While celebrities were politically active in past, their fans demonstrated little or no desire to see their favourite actors, musicians and performers in a political guise. With the growing demand from audiences for authentic forms of celebrity engagement, transformative CP2s have realized their value as advocates for a wide range of causes. Therefore:

Celebrities must create a political persona that invokes their own credibility and authority, which then gets conferred onto the cause to which they are associated. ...



They must use these resources to demonstrate authenticity in order to get the attention and sympathy of the audiences they seek, and to protect themselves from retribution should they alienate audiences (Collins, 2007: 186).

### **Celebrities' engagement with the social media: branding and partisan endorsement**

As user participation within the social media platforms has grown, it has segued into the dynamics which have shaped the audience's identification with celebrity interactions.

Previously, celebrities had been constructed through the principles of fame, talent and achievement, and these concerns were augmented in the era of mass communications by publicity machines such as the Hollywood star system (Boorstin, 1971). However, the social media has removed the stars' untouchable and mysterious status, and enabled them to directly communicate with their fan-bases. Further, the user-generated social networks such as *YouTube* have transformed 'normal people' into online celebrities and have launched the careers of reality TV stars whose unique selling points (USP) are defined as 'being famous for being famous' (Istanboulian, 2012).

Therefore, across the digital platforms, marketers have vied for the consumers' ever-decreasing attention spans by employing well-known spokespeople to get a brand noticed. Moreover, within the realm of the social media, celebrities have a greater cachet amongst those younger voters who predominate on social networks. For instance, on *Twitter*, Canadian 'teeny-bopper' sensation Justin Bieber (whose career was launched online) has 34.5 million followers, while talk show hostess (and occasional actress) Oprah Winfrey has 16.6 million and reality TV star Kim Kardashian has 17.3 million. In contrast, the branding capacity of traditional commercial players and the political classes remains limited in appeal.

Further, a key difference has emerged from the traditional media fan/celebrity interaction in which social media transactions allow for constant updates/posts shared between stars and their followers. In this manner, it has been argued that a greater sense of personal connectivity exists between the celebrity and the fan. Moreover, in their use of their social networks celebrities can choose how and when they want to reveal themselves to their fans (O'Brien, 2014: 13). This has meant that celebrities have become opinion leaders who have fashioned their public relations (PR) strategies to appeal to their online fan-bases.

Therefore, as politicians or political causes have previously employed celebrities to bring visibility or monies to their campaigns, the social media has not only widened the scale and reach of the conversation but has enhanced the credibility of CP2 endorsements.<sup>ii</sup> Additionally, the social media through the continuous measurement of tweets, re-tweets, *Facebook* likes and the accumulation of followers creates a straightforward system for tracking the strength of such celebrity engagement (Ibid: 13). These developments have resulted in the social media becoming a significant platform for a political dialogue between CP2s and their fan-bases:

Celebrities ... have a greater potential to impact thoughts and decisions, even if that impact is only to reinforce an already held belief. It is not uncommon for a fan to buy a product simply because their favourite celebrity endorses or recommends it. In one study by Ani Istanbulian, 43% of the respondents indicated they had learned more about a cause because of a celebrity they follow online (Ibid, 13).

Accordingly, within American and UK elections, CP2s have taken to the social networks to utilize their fame and status as a form of political capital to endorse candidates and propagate partisan ideologies. In 2008, Web 2.0 tools such as *You Tube* and *Facebook*, along with the Obama's team interactive website *MyBarackObama.com* (MyBo), allowed the

Democratic Presidential candidate to affect numerous political advertisements online incorporating well-known supporters. A popular favourite referred to the Jewish comedienne Sarah Silverman's 'Great Schlep' imploring Jewish grandchildren to fly to Florida to force their grandparents to leave their condominiums and their prejudices behind to support Obama's candidacy. This was accompanied by CP2 endorsements from Chris Rock, Matthew Broderick and Orlando Bloom on *You Tube* videos designed to mobilize regular voters to become 'involved' in the Obama campaign by manning phones or canvassing supporters on the polling day (Ibid: 11). Other film and music stars including Scarlett Johansson, Kelly Hu, John Legend, Herbie Hancock, Kareem Abdul Jabbar, Adam Rodriguez, Amber Valetta and Nick Cannon produced a pro-Obama video with The Black Eyed Peas entitled *Yes We Can* which became one of the most downloaded items on *You Tube* (Kellner, 2009: 719).

In the 2012 American Presidential campaign, CP2s lined up again, via the social media, to encourage their fans to support either Obama or his challenger, the Republican nominee --- Mitt Romney. In the main US music, film and television stars supported the incumbent President and Beyonce Knowles, Neil Patrick Harris, Eva Longoria, Katy Perry and Will Smith posted messages backing Obama. The massive growth of *Twitter* (which had been in its infancy in 2008) meant that it became the CP2s platform of choice as it not only made it easier for celebrities to reach out to their vast number of followers, but through trending devices Obama's spin doctors could calculate the effect of the 140 character endorsements. For instance, the Afro-American film director Spike Lee posted on his account:

VOTE, VOTE, VOTE, VOTE, VOTE, VOTE, VOTE, VOTE, VOTE, VOTE, VOTE,  
VOTE, VOTE, VOTE, VOTE, VOTE, VOTE, VOTE, VOTE, VOTE,  
VOTE, VOTE, VOTE, VOTE, OBAMA (Lee quoted from Hernandez, 2012).

Lee's message was subsequently re-tweeted on 2003 occasions and received a favourites setting of 215. Moreover, the success of a celebrity's online presence has been measured by their 'Klout' score. This indicator refers to a combined accumulation of the public's retweets or 'likes' of CP2s messages across eight social media platforms. Under these terms, Obama supporters such as Winfrey and Stephen Colbert (*The Colbert Report*) achieved impressive levels of online attention (O'Brien, 2014: 13). In such a manner, the 'noise' made across the social networks by those CP2s supporting Obama became a key mechanism through which his team galvanized the American electorate.

In the 2010 British General Election, there was a limited degree of online celebrity political activity. The *Monty Python* comedian John Cleese, who had produced PEBs for the Liberal Democratic Party (LibDems) in the 1980s and the 1990s, supported the party and its demand for electoral reform on his *Twitter* and *Facebook* accounts. Similarly, the ambient musician Brian Eno appeared on a *YouTube* video claiming that 'We need a new voice, to think differently' in which he berated the Conservative Party to failing to be a proper opposition in 'a prevailing Labour one-party state' (Eno quoted from Arthur 2010). In tandem, stand-up comedians Eddie Izzard and Alan Davies utilized their extensive *Twitter* account followers (1,529,986 and 128,867 respectively) to exhort their fan base to vote for the Labour Party. However, Stephen Fry --- the UK celebrity most associated with *Twitter* with 3 million followers --- remained undecided during the campaign. He vacillated within his tweets stating that he was a disenchanted Labour supporter who was considering voting LibDem, 'Frankly I'm tempted to vote Lib Dem now. If we let the Telegraph and Mail win, well, freedom and Britain die' (Fry quoted Ibid, 2010).

However, British public interest in online celebrity activism remained limited. Invariably, this reflected the truism that UK politics and popular cultures continue to be more comfortable with each other when they maintained an arms-length relationship. Moreover,

not all US celebrity endorsements were sought by the political classes and a number (Jane Fonda, Rosie O'Donnell, Madonna and Tom Cruise) proved to be counter-productive. Romney's social media campaign was detrimentally affected by property-magnate Donald Trump and rock star Ted Nugent's vitriolic tweets aimed at Obama which were motivated more by bitter self-interest and anti-statist psychosis than any form of political enlightenment. Yet, in America the long-standing relationship between politicians and celebrities has invariably been enhanced by the use of the social networks to mediate political messages. Further, American and British CP2s have transmitted messages through the social media to engage in causal based types of activism and humanitarianism.

### **Online Celebrity Activism: Campaigns and Causes**

Celebrities are supposed to use their celebrity to pull focus to issues ... that's our function (Dreyfus, 2000).

As the film star Richard Dreyfus has commented politicized celebrities have realized that they may draw public attention to a range of causes. Through their participation in a public service announcement, the writing of an opinion piece, or an appearance at a public rally, celebrities can attract visibility to a cause. Such star appeal in the political realm has been determined by the replacement of older mechanisms of party campaign machinery with more individualist forms of campaigning (Rabidoux, 2009: 79).

For PR agents celebrity philanthropy has proved to be a less dangerous to celebrity brands than political endorsements, which can be ineffably tarnished by support for the wrong candidate or party (O'Brien, 2014: 14). There have been several spectacular own goals such as Romney supporter Clint Eastwood's berating of an empty chair representing Obama

at the 2012 Republican National Convention. The overwhelmingly negative reaction upon the social media to this gaffe raised awareness that any perceived slip-up would be poorly received by a multitude of user groups:

It became a defining moment in the campaign as the meme ‘Eastwooding’ went viral along with posting of pictures of empty chairs. In just a few days an ‘Invisible Obama’ Twitter account had over 32,000 followers. Even Obama tweeted an image of himself sitting in a chair in the White House accompanied by the line ‘This seat’s taken.’ (Ibid: 15).

Further, the realization that the social media instantly circumvents the traditional media gatekeepers has led to celebrities behaving in an apparently more altruistic manner. Increasingly, CP2s have taken to the social media to support and publicize worthy causes. As Ani Istanboulian and Pamela C. O’Brien have noted this process has been a two-way street as causal groups, non profits, charities and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) have benefitted in gaining validation and legitimacy (Istanboulian, 2012: 10; O’Brien 2014: 14). When celebrities post their support for an organization, their followers are only a click away from the lobby’s website or a relevant article related to the issue. Therefore, Edward Norton co-founded a grassroots donation platform, Crowdfunder, which enabled users to access the social network to raise monies for charitable groups. These fundraising opportunities have led to pressure groups employing celebrity managers to co-ordinate online CP2 activity to direct user group attention to their campaigns (Dyson et.al, 2013: 4).

Such concerns became evident at the 2014 Music Television (MTV) Video Music Awards when pop ‘wild-child’ Miley Cyrus traded in her raunchy ‘twerking’ antics with a dedication of her award for the promotional video accompanying her song ‘*Wrecking Ball*’ to America’s homeless population. To this end, she asked a young homeless man ‘Jesse’ to

accept the award on her behalf and for the 1.6 million runaway youths living within the US. Within the acceptance speech he directed the large television audience to send donations to a homeless shelter which appeared on Cyrus's *Facebook* page, while 'the star perched on the side of the stage and sobbed at her own beneficence' (Wills, 2014). It was later reported that:

PR expert Mark Borkowski thinks the po-faced antics at this year's MTV awards are part of a wider trend for stunt-based good deeds such as the Ice-bucket Challenge.

'Agents, managers and artists are more switched on to world events than ever because of social media, so there's pressure on celebrities to feed into the news agenda. It's no longer enough to give a tissue-soaked speech or wear a stunning dress to leverage global headlines' (Ibid).

Other US CP2s have taken to the social media to campaign for a diverse range of causes. For instance, there was online support for Obama's reforms to Healthcare Insurance ('Obamacare') and actors Michael Cera and Kal Penn mobilized CP2s to campaign for the Affordable Care Act. In 2012, Jeff Bridges announced on his website that he would be attending the Democratic and Republican National Conventions to publicize No Kid Hungry, a group dedicated to the eradication of child hunger. Moreover, Lady Gaga, Britney Spears, Ashton Kutcher and Matt Damon have utilized their *Facebook* and *Twitter* accounts to demonstrate their compassion and to bring visibility to social causes. Similarly, in Britain several CP2s (Stephen Fry, Annie Lennox and Daniel Craig) have used their social media networks to lend their support to humanitarian NGOs such as Oxfam, Save the Children and Amnesty International. According to David Piner, PR Manager at the British Red Cross:

People consume media in so many different ways now ... Lady Gaga has 15.2 million followers on *Twitter*; Stephen Fry has three million and a tweet from him can crash

your website ... A tweet from someone like Annie Lennox has a huge impact on traffic ( Piner quoted from Plummer, 2011).<sup>iii</sup>

While there have been advantages related to online celebrity involvement concerning social causes, there are obvious difficulties. Many questions remain about the motivations of celebrities such as Cyrus who have been criticized for using their social networks for self-promotion rather than any form of altruism (Ibid). Moreover, an untenable conflict of interests between celebrity commercial endorsements and humanitarianism became conspicuous when the US film star Scarlett Johansson, who had been an advocate for Oxfam, became the face of Soda Stream in January 2014. Controversially, the company had a factory within the occupied Israeli West Bank territories in violation to the human rights abuses exposed by Oxfam. Johansson decided to follow her commercial interests rather than her principles and withdrew from representing Oxfam. Her contradictory position was taken up by *Pink Floyd* musician and Palestinian rights activist Roger Waters who commented on his *Facebook* page:

Scarlett's choice of Soda Stream over Oxfam is such an act of intellectual, political, and civil about face, that we, all those of us who care about the downtrodden, the oppressed, the occupied, the second class, will find it hard to rationalize. ... Scarlett, you are undeniably cute, but if you think Soda Stream is building bridges towards peace you are also undeniably not paying attention (Waters, 2014).

Similarly, the over-exposure of CP2s can result in public appetite for celebrities engaging in public pronouncements reaching saturation.

Yet, the removal of media filters such as editorial controls and changes in public reception to online messages has led to CP2s becoming more confident in engaging international political controversies. This became evident during the online debate that was



conducted by American and European celebrities during the Israeli military intervention into the Gaza Strip which occurred in the summer of 2014.

### **Gaza and online Celebrity Humanitarianism**

The human rights debate concerning Israel's military action within Gaza was accompanied by a vitriolic dispute amongst those film, music and sports stars who pitched into the controversy. Spanish film celebrities including Academy Award winners Penelope Cruz and her husband Javier Bardem, along with Spain's leading director Pedro Almodovar signed an open letter, which was reproduced across the social media, condemning Israel's 'genocidal' devastation of Gaza. In the wake of this letter, Zayn Malik --- a member of boy band *One Direction* --- tweeted #Free Palestine to his 13 million followers. This message was retweeted on 150,000 occasions. However, Malik was bombarded with death threats as well as plaudits. In turn, the British musician and record producer Brian Eno upped the ante. He wrote a widespread condemnation (which was distributed on *Talking Head's* star David Byrne's website) of the US government's support of Israel which he claimed was akin to its backing of the Klu Klux Klan:

Like it or not, in the eyes of most of the world, America represents 'The West'. So it is *The West* that is seen as supporting this war, despite all our high-handed talk about morality and democracy. I fear that all the civilizational achievements of The Enlightenment and Western Culture are being discredited - to the great glee of the mad Mullahs - by this flagrant hypocrisy. The war has no moral justification that I can see - but it doesn't even have any pragmatic value either. It doesn't make Kissingerian 'Realpolitik' sense; it just makes us look *bad* (Eno, 2014).

Within the American news media, the UK comedian Russell Brand employed *YouTube* films to level criticisms of the ‘humanity’ of the right-wing *Fox News* anchor Sean Hannity, a self-proclaimed Zionist and anti-Hamas commentator. Hannity responded that Brand was a ‘D-list’ celebrity best known for being the former husband of pop star Katie Perry.

On the other side of the debate, Jewish celebrities such as the late Joan Rivers, Howard Stern, Jackie Mason and Israeli model/actress Gal Gadot claimed that the critiques were naive as Israel had to protect its citizens from Hamas’ attacks. In particular, Rivers had told the America Online (AOL) entertainment website TMZ, ‘They (Hamas) started it. We now don’t count who’s dead. You’re dead, you deserve to be dead’ (Alexander, 2014). Rivers apologized and stated that her comments were taken out of context. The Israeli media magnet Haim Saban and Relativity Media Chief Executive Officer Ryan Kavanaugh also condemned Hamas and made clear their contempt towards Cruz and Bardem. Consequently, the Spanish couple, who have Hollywood as well as European careers, retracted their initial criticisms by stating that it was their intention to facilitate a peaceful negotiation between both sides (Daunt, 2014).

For Jon Voight (who has converted from a being a liberal anti-Vietnam War protester into a neo-conservative Republican and has become as famous for being celebrity humanitarian Angelina Jolie’s father) Cruz and Bardem’s explanations were not good enough and he accused them of being Anti-Semites. ‘You have defamed the only democratic country of goodwill in the Middle East: Israel,’ he said. ‘You should hang your heads in shame’ (Voight, 2014) Yet, Voight in his online letter to the *Hollywood Reporter* seemed to suggest that the Spanish signatories were ‘in America’ when he commented, ‘You have been able to become famous and have your monetary gains because you live in a democratic country’ (Ibid).

However, previously vocal Israeli supporters felt that the moral dilemmas evidenced within the Gaza dispute could not be so easily rectified. For instance, an online photo and video project supporting Palestinian rights labelled ‘#Gazanames’ received the backing of Jewish American actor Mandy Patinkin, Tony Kushner, Jonathan Demme, Gloria Steinem, Wallace Shawn and Eno. The project was co-produced by the Jewish Voice for Peace organization. In turn, Madonna posted an *Instagram* picture of herself flanked by two topless male dancers one bearing the Star of David and another with a Muslim Star and Crescent accompanied by ‘No Separation! We all bleed the same colour.’

Moreover, a younger generation of film, television and music stars such as Jon Stewart, Tori Amos, Rob Schneider, Mark Ruffalo, Selena Gomez and, indeed, Rihanna (whose tweet #Free Palestine was hastily removed after eight minutes but had been re-tweeted many times over) were critical of Israel. Although Stewart and others like United Nations Goodwill Ambassador Mia Farrow demonstrated a greater degree of nuance when they suggested that by questioning the effectiveness of Israel’s foreign policies they had not become Hamas supporters.

The online celebrity engagement into the long-running controversy of the Israel-Palestine dispute demonstrated how the employment social media has changed the nature of CP2 interventions into modern political communications. Although celebrity activism had become increasingly politicized, it usually operated within a framework where agreed normative principles concerning human rights and liberal internationalist causes have been apparent (Cooper, 2008). With the divisive exception of Vanessa Redgrave's support of the Palestine Liberation Organization (PLO) when accepting her Academy Award in 1978, Israel-Palestine had remained a no-go area. However, as there has been the rise of the social networks in which the previous editorial controls have been lifted celebrities have become more confident that they can engage in the Israel-Palestine dispute.

Stephen Walt, the Harvard Professor and co-author of *The Israel Lobby and US Foreign Policy* (2006), argues that the social media has enhanced celebrities to influence as the new platforms mean that they can directly communicate their ideas to their fan base:

The public discourse on Israel has changed. A lot of it is due to social media. It gives people, especially celebrities who have a lot of influence, a platform to say how they feel without being pressured by editors and publishers, who are themselves being pressured by outside organizations (Walt quoted from Kennedy, 2014)

As the critiques of celebrity advocates have indicated, there are dangers in over-simplifying complex forms of international geo-politics. However, the celebrity engagement in using the social media to comment on the Gaza debate cannot be easily dismissed. Crucially, it demonstrates how stars influence public opinion to direct their online audience's attention to matters of conflict and peaceful resolution.

## **Conclusion**

This paper has demonstrated how the social media has facilitated greater opportunities for politicized celebrities to endorse political candidates, engage in causal based politics and to contribute to matters of international controversy. Through their dedicated *Facebook* pages and *Twitter* feeds CP2s have had a profound impact, for both good and ill, upon the practices of international political communication. New forms of political participation have emerged as celebrity activists have reconfigured politics for a more fragmented and image-conscious public arena. However, until the controversy concerning Israel's military action within Gaza, celebrities had largely steered clear of the Israel-Palestine dispute, reserving political opinion for less fractious issues (Carroll, 2014). But the human rights debate concerning Israel's

military action within Gaza was accompanied by a litany of CP2 tweets, retracted tweets, multiple open letters and further online statements clarifying positions or stating that they had been taken out of context (e.g. Penelope Cruz, Javier Bardem and Joan Rivers) after a barrage of complaints.

Such an employment of the social media demonstrates how modern political communications are changing swiftly. The Gaza debate has transcended many of the characteristics of celebrity humanitarianism and it has shown how celebrities may increasingly clash in such a high-profile manner, prompted by the ideological divisions at stake. These CP2 statements were extraordinarily varied in tone and intelligence, but they did raise important questions about whether celebrities should comment on geo-political controversies? And have their interventions helped to raise the appropriate level of public awareness of the issues involved? Further, these concerns have taken on a greater importance as Western publics are consuming entertainment rather than ostensible 'hard' news through social media feeds. Thus, if people are receiving their news via CP2s personal websites, *Twitter* accounts and *Facebook* pages, there is a greater need to understand the processes and worth of these forms of celebrity activism.

Therefore, as 'infotainment' occupies a range of Web 2.0 outlets, it should be noted that celebrities have become more politically conscious. This celebritization of politics has brought about new forms of political engagement which indicate a dialectic transformation of high-politics with a populist approach to cultural citizenship. This has had both positive and negative connotations. CP2 activity may publicize a cause or make visible on-going debates about humanitarian concerns. Conversely, emotionally driven engagements may obfuscate the true nature of geo-political divisions and offer simplistic responses to intractable conflicts. Yet, with the rise of social media, the previous editorial controls have been lifted so

that CP2s may raise political issues within new arenas to affect greater forms of public engagement.

Finally, these concerns segue into a wider debate about the dynamics which are shaping post-democratic societies. Here it is contended that traditional civic duties are being replaced by alternative forms of virtuous participation (Bang, 2005). Within this new political environment, different types of agency such as celebrity politics have become centrifugal forces for public engagement. Yet, celebrity politics must not only be seen to have social value, but needs to provide the conditions through which a transformation in democratic behaviour may occur. Therefore, politicized celebrities need to demonstrate ideological substance and provide clarity in establishing a fixed range of meanings upon which people may achieve a real sense of connection with political causes. Consequently, such forms of activity should provide the basis upon which citizens may participate in terms of their own political efficacy to define the common good.

## **Bibliography**

Alexander, E. (2014) 'Joan Rivers: 'Palestinians deserve to be dead'', *The Independent*, 8 August. <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/people/joan-rivers-palestinians-deserve-to-be-dead-9656554.html>. Accessed 4 September 2014.

Arthur, C (2010) 'Can Labour's Twitter celebrity backers really swing your vote? If you're influenced by Eddie Izzard's or Alan Davies's tweets, could that swing it for Labour on 6 May? We have our doubts - especially as Stephen Fry hasn't declared yet', *The Guardian Online*, Technology Blog, 26 April.

<http://www.theguardian.com/technology/blog/2010/apr/26/twitter-politics-celebrities>.

Accessed 4 September 2014.

Bang, H.P. (2005) 'Among Everyday Makers and Expert Citizens', in J. Newman (Ed.) *Remaking Governance: Peoples, Politics and the Public Sphere* (Bristol: The Policy Press), pp.159–79.

Boorstin, D. (1971) *The Image: A Guide to Pseudo-Events in America*. New York: Atheneum.

Brockington, D., and Henson, S. (2014) 'Signifying the public: Celebrity advocacy and post-democratic politics', *International Journal of Cultural Studies*. 8 May, pp.1-18.

Carroll, R. (2014) 'Hollywood divided: passions high as actors and celebrities speak out on Gaza', *The Guardian*, 4 August. <http://www.theguardian.com/world/2014/aug/04/hollywood-divided-gaza-support-israel-backlash>. Accessed 6 September, 2014.

Cashmore, E. (2006) *Celebrity/Culture*. London and New York: Routledge.

Castells, M. (2007) 'Communication, Power and Counter-power in the Network Society', *International Journal of Communication*, 8 February, pp. 238-266.

\_\_\_\_ (2009), *Communications Power*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

\_\_\_\_ (2012), *Networks of Outrage and Hope: Social Movements in the Internet Age*, Cambridge: Polity.

Coleman, S. (2007) *Beyond the West (minster) Wing: The Depiction of British Politicians and Politics Beyond Soaps*. Research Report, Institute of Communications Studies, University of Leeds.

- Collins, S. (2007) 'Traversing Authenticities: *The West Wing* President and the Activist Sheen', in K. Riegart (ed.), *Politicotainment: Television's Take on the Real*. New York, Washington D.C/Baltimore, Bern, Frankfurt am Main, Berlin, Brussels, Vienna, Oxford: Peter Lang, pp. 181-211.
- Cooper, A. F. (2008) *Celebrity Diplomacy*. Boulder, London: Paradigm Publishers.
- Daunt, T (2014) 'Stars Face Hollywood Backlash in War of Words Over Israel', *The Hollywood Reporter*, 6 August. <http://www.hollywoodreporter.com/news/stars-face-hollywood-backlash-war-723613>. Accessed 5 September.
- Dreyfus, R. (2000) 'Interview' in K. Bowser, *Hollywood DC: A Tale of Two Cities*. Freemantle Corporation.
- Dyson, R., Gooch, B. MacKenzie, C and Browning, V. (2013), *Charity Comms: Best Practice Guide Harnessing the talent: working with celebrities*. May. Charity Comms.org.uk. [http://spencerdu Bois.co.uk/uploads/documents/Harnessing\\_the\\_talent.pdf](http://spencerdu Bois.co.uk/uploads/documents/Harnessing_the_talent.pdf). Accessed 6 September, 2014.
- Eno, B. (2014), 'Gaza and the Loss of Civilization', Guest Post, *David Byrne website*, 28 July. <http://davidbyrne.com/gaza-and-the-loss-of-civilization>. Accessed 4 September 2014.
- Fenton N. (2012) 'Internet and Radical Politics', in Curran J., Fenton, N. and Freedman, D., *Misunderstanding the Internet*. London: Routledge, pp.149-176.
- Hay, C. (2007) *Why We Hate Politics*. Cambridge: Polity.
- Hernandez, B.A. (2012) '20 Celebrities Endorsing Obama or Romney on Social Media', <http://mashable.com/2012/11/06/celebrity-endorsements-obama-romney-election/>. Accessed 4 September 2014.



Huddart, S. (2005) (revised edition) *Do We Need Another Hero? Understanding Celebrities' Roles in Advancing Social Causes*. McGill University, Montreal, Canada.

Istanboulian, A. (2012) 'A new power: how celebrities can use social media to influence social movements' (Unpublished Master's Thesis) University of Southern California, Los Angeles, May. <http://digitallibrary.usc.edu/cdm/ref/collection/p15799coll3/id/30702>. Accessed 4 September 2014.

Keane, J. (2013) *Democracy and Media Decadence*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Kellner, D. (2009) 'Barack Obama and celebrity spectacle', *International Journal of Communication*. 3 (1), pp.715-741.

Kennedy, D. (2014) 'Why Young Hollywood Is More Willing to Question Israel's Policies', *The Hollywood Reporter*, 26 July. <http://www.hollywoodreporter.com/news/why-young-hollywood-is-more-721353>. Accessed 5 September 2014.

Marshall, C. (2011), 'Navigating the Stars: The Cueing Effects of Celebrity Political Endorsements on Twitter', (Unpublished Masters Thesis), University of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio. [https://etd.ohiolink.edu/ap/10?0::NO:10:P10\\_ACCESSION\\_NUM:osu1339684523](https://etd.ohiolink.edu/ap/10?0::NO:10:P10_ACCESSION_NUM:osu1339684523). Accessed 10 September 2014.

Mearsheimer, J. and Walt, S. (2006), *The Israel Lobby and U.S. Foreign Policy*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

Neilson (2012) *State of the Media - The Social Media Report 2012*. <http://www.nielsen.com/us/en/insights/reports/2012/state-of-the-media-the-social-media-report-2012.html>. Accessed 5 September 2014.

- O'Brien, P. (2014) 'Actors and the Social Media in Politics', in Harvey K. (ed.), *Encyclopedia of Social Media and Politics, Volume 1*, Los Angeles: Sage Publications Inc., pp.11-16.
- Plummer, J. (2011) 'Analysis: Sector still believes in the power of fame', *Third Sector*, 8 November. <http://www.thirdsector.co.uk/analysis-sector-believes-power-fame/fundraising/article/1102613>. Accessed 6 September 2014.
- Rabidoux, G.R. (2009) *Hollywood Politicos, Then and Now: Who They Are, What They Want, Why It Matters*. Lanham, Boulder, New York, Toronto, Plymouth, UK: University Press of America.
- Street, J. (2004) 'Celebrity Politicians: Popular Culture and Political Representation.' *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. Political Studies Association and Blackwells Publishers. 6 (4), pp. 435-52.
- Street, J. (2011) *Music and Politics*. Cambridge. UK: Polity Press.
- Thompson, J. (1995) *The Media and Modernity: A Social Theory of the Media*. Cambridge: Polity.
- Voight, J. (2014) 'Jon Voight Pens Letter to 'Ignorant' Javier Bardem, Penelope Cruz on Israel: 'Hang Your Heads in Shame' (Guest Column), *The Hollywood Reporter*, 2 August. <http://www.hollywoodreporter.com/news/jon-voight-pens-letter-ignorant-723007>. Accessed 5 September 2014.

Waters, R. (2014) 'A note from Roger - February 1, 2014', *Roger Water's The Wall*, Facebook, 1 February. <https://www.facebook.com/notes/roger-waters-the-wall/a-note-from-roger-february-1-2014/795425690471883>. Accessed 4 September 2014.

Wills, K. (2014) 'Miley's people: How MTV awards excess has been replaced by stars speaking up for good causes', *The Independent*, 26 August. <http://www.independent.co.uk/arts-entertainment/music/features/mileys-people-how-mtv-awards-excess-has-been-replaced-by-stars-speaking-up-for-good-causes-9689839.html>. Accessed 4 September 2014.

### **Contributor details**

Mark Wheeler is a professor of Political Communications at London Metropolitan University. He is the author of *Politics and the Mass Media* (1997), *European Television Industries* (2005) (with Petros Iosifidis and Jeanette Steemers), *Hollywood: Politics and Society* (2006) and *Celebrity Politics: Image and Identity in Contemporary Political Communications* (2013). He has published a range of articles in peer-reviewed journals and chapters in edited books.

Contact:

E-mail: [m.wheeler@londonmet.ac.uk](mailto:m.wheeler@londonmet.ac.uk)

---

<sup>i</sup> Street's taxonomy has also included those politicians who have employed the characteristics of fame to garner votes. They are known as CP1s.

---

<sup>ii</sup> For an interesting discussion of the uni or multi-dimensionality of online credibility and celebrity engagement, see Marshall, 2011, pp.11-14.

<sup>iii</sup> There has been a significant debate about the worth of celebrity humanitarianism ranging from Andrew Cooper's (2008) general support for celebrity diplomacy to recent survey material by Dan Brockington and Spenser Henson (2014) which argues that such CP2 activity is largely negligible.